

---

# IEOR 290A – LECTURE 6

## COLLINEARITY

---

### 1 Ridge Regression

The M-estimator which had the Bayesian interpretation of a linear model with Gaussian prior on the coefficients

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\beta\|_2^2$$

has multiple names: ridge regression,  $L_2$ -regularization, and Tikhonov regularization.

#### 1.1 COMPUTATION OF RIDGE REGRESSION ESTIMATE

Computation becomes straightforward if we rewrite the objective. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \|\beta\|_2^2 &= \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \|0 - \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p\beta\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \beta \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the objective in the optimization used to compute the ridge regression estimate is the same as the objective in OLS, but with “pseudo-measurements” corresponding to  $\tilde{X} = \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p$  and  $\tilde{Y} = 0$  added. From the solution to OLS, we have that the ridge regression estimate is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \sqrt{\lambda}\mathbb{I}_p \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (X'X + \lambda\mathbb{I}_p)^{-1} X'Y. \end{aligned}$$

#### 1.2 PROPORTIONAL SHRINKAGE

The ridge regression estimate has an important interpretation in the bias-variance context. Suppose that we compute the singular value decomposition of  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ :

$$X = USV'$$

where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is a rectangular diagonal matrix  $S = [\text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_p) \ 0]'$  where  $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_p$ . Then, the ridge regression estimate can

be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} &= (X'X + \lambda \mathbb{I}_p)^{-1} X'Y \\
&= (VS'U'USV' + \lambda \mathbb{I}_p)^{-1} VS'U'Y \\
&= (V \text{diag}(s_1^2, \dots, s_p^2) V' + \lambda VV')^{-1} VS'U'Y \\
&= V \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_p^2 + \lambda} \right) V' VS'U'Y \\
&= V \left[ \text{diag} \left( \frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{s_p}{s_p^2 + \lambda} \right) \quad 0 \right] U'Y.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the estimate is just the OLS estimate. So one interpretation of the ridge regression estimate is that we are shrinking the inverse of the singular values towards zero. The shrinkage is proportional to the magnitude of  $s_i$ , meaning that the shrinkage is relatively smaller for  $s_i$  versus  $s_{i+1}$ .

## 2 Collinearity

The usage of SVD suggests a geometric interpretation may be valuable. Consider the standard linear model  $y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i$ , and further suppose that  $x_i = z_i' B + \mu_i$ , where  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a vector of “hidden” variables,  $d < p$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$  is a matrix of coefficients, and  $\mu_i$  is zero mean noise with finite variance. The idea of this model is that we observe  $x_i$ , but  $x_i$  has smaller dimensionality due to these variables actually being an unknown function of  $z_i$  that are not measured. We will assume that  $z_i$  are Gaussian and have zero mean with finite variance. For notational convenience, we define  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  to be the matrix whose  $i$ -th row is  $z_i'$ ; similarly, we define  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  to be the matrix whose  $i$ -th row is  $\mu_i'$ . Lastly, we define  $\Sigma$  to be the covariance matrix of  $X$ ,  $\Sigma_z$  to be the covariance matrix of  $z_i$ , and  $\sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$  to be the covariance matrix of  $\mu_i$ .

To understand why this situation is problematic, consider the sample covariance matrix

$$\frac{1}{n} X'X = \frac{1}{n} B'Z'ZB + \frac{1}{n} M'M \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma = B'\Sigma_z B + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}.$$

Now note that  $\Sigma_z$  has rank  $p$ , and  $B'\Sigma_z B$  is positive semidefinite and so can be diagonalized. Specifically, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= B'\Sigma_z B + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I} \\
&= U \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_d, 0, \dots, 0) U' + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I} \\
&= U \text{diag}(s_1 + \sigma^2, \dots, s_d + \sigma^2, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^2) U'.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a problem for two reasons. First, the small  $\sigma^2$  looks like signal, but it is actually noise. Second, the small  $\sigma^2$  distorts our signal (though we cannot fix this issue without specifically considering errors-in-variables estimators).

The ridge regression estimate tries to shrink the  $\sigma^2$  noise terms towards zero, while impacting the signal terms  $s_i$  less (i.e., proportional shrinkage). And so ridge regression can be interpreted in this geometrical context as trying to estimate the linear coefficients subject to a model in which the measured variables  $x_i$  as actually linear functions of a lower dimensional variable  $z_i$  that is not measured.

### 3 Exterior Derivative Estimator

Consider the collinearity model described above, and suppose that instead we only shrink the values that we believe are noise  $s_{d+1}, \dots, s_p$ . Then we can define another estimator as

$$\hat{\beta} = V \left[ \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_d}, \frac{s_{d+1}}{s_{d+1}^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{s_p}{s_p^2 + \lambda} \right) \quad 0 \right] U'Y.$$

This estimator provides a different bias-variance tradeoff. It turns out that we can define this as the following M-estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Pi\beta\|_2^2,$$

where  $\Pi$  is a projection matrix that projects onto the  $(p - d)$  smallest eigenvectors of the sample covariance matrix  $\frac{1}{n}X'X$ . We call this the exterior derivative estimator (EDE).

The name for this estimator is inspired by the following question: If we estimate the  $\beta$  coefficients in our model, then what is their interpretation? This question looks simple, but it is more complex than it seems at first glance. The coefficients  $\beta$  cannot be interpreted as a gradient because the  $x_i$  do not span the whole space. It turns out that the correct interpretation of the  $\beta$  in this model is that of an exterior derivative, which is an extension of gradients to differentiable manifolds. The intuition is that the  $\beta$  only gives derivative information in the directions of the manifold, but we do not get derivative information in other directions. This is important because if we interpret, say ridge regression, in a geometric context then it means that we have only been able to estimate derivative information in some “measured” directions. The EDE estimate makes this intuition clear because we are penalizing for deviations of our estimate from the “measured” directions.

#### 3.1 PRINCIPAL COMPONENT REGRESSION

There is another regression method known as principal component regression (PCR) in which the estimate is

$$\hat{\beta} = V \left[ \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_d}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \quad 0 \right] U'Y.$$

The normal way for writing this estimate is as a change of coordinates that converts  $x_i$  into some scaled variables in a lower dimension  $\tilde{z}_i$ , builds a linear model with inputs  $\tilde{z}_i$  and output  $y_i$ , and then performs the inverse coordinate change to get the linear model in the  $x_i$  space. We can also interpret PCR as a special case of the EDE. This can be seen by defining the PCR estimate as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \arg \min_{\beta} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Pi\beta\|_2^2 \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \arg \min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Pi\beta\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that swapping the limit and minimization is not allowed in every situation, but it is allowed in this situation. The reasons for this are technical and will be discussed later in the course.